



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/910,574		07/20/2001	Eugene Gorbatov	42390P12150	1414	
8791	7590	04/20/2006		EXAMINER		
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD				YIMAM, HARUN M		
SEVENTE		OULEVARD		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	S, CA 90025-1030		2623		
				DATE MAILED: 04/20/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Application No.	on No. Applicant(s)	
0.65	09/910,574	GORBATOV ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Harun M. Yimam	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- on. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 February 2006	<u>:</u>	
	This action is non-final.	:	
3) Since this application is in condition for al		are prosecution as to the morite is	
closed in accordance with the practice un	·	•	•
closed in accordance with the practice un	dei Ex parte Quayle, 1905 C.D	. 11, 455 O.G. 215.	
Disposition of Claims		:	
4) Claim(s) 1-3,6-15,18-21,26,27,29-31 and	33 is/are pending in the applica	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit		:	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-15,18-21,26,27,29-31 and</u>	33 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,	:	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
, , ,	•	: :	
Application Papers		<u>:</u>	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	orrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Diferite condens 05 11 0 0 0 440		:	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		: :	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		: :	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	:	
2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International B	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	:	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	
		<u> </u>	
Attach mant/a)		÷	
Attachment(s)	A\	tummon (PTO 412)	
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S	SB/08) 5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	- `	

Art Unit: 2623

Note to Applicant

Art Units 2611, 2614 and 2617 have changed to 2623. Please make all future correspondence indicate the new designation 2623.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 02/03/2006 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-15, 18-21, 26, 27, 29-31 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Omoigui (2005/0086688) in view of Omoigui (2004/0111747).

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claim 1, Omoigui ('688) discloses receiving a television stream (paragraph 0029, lines 10-13 and paragraph 0054, lines 1-9); causing the display of a first program of a first channel received in the television stream for viewing by a viewer (paragraph 0034, lines 1-2); and notifying the viewer of an event occurring in a second program of a second channel being broadcast concurrently with the first program (paragraph 0038, lines 1-19 and paragraph 0067, lines 1-8).

Omoigui ('688) fails to disclose the notification of the event being transmitted over a third channel.

In analogous art, Omoigui ('747) discloses that the notification of the event could be transmitted over a third channel (paragraph 0069, lines 21-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Omoigui's ('688) system to include the notification of the event being transmitted over a third channel, as taught by Omoigui ('747), for the benefit of providing different means of notification means to the viewer.

As for claims 2 and 14, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses that the television stream comprises an enhanced television stream (triggering events—paragraph 0035, lines 15-22).

Art Unit: 2623

With regards to claims 3 and 15, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses receiving an event notification (triggering event—paragraph 0035, lines 15-22) within the enhanced television stream, the event notification indicating occurrence of the event (paragraph 0035, lines 15-27).

As for claims 6 and 18, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses automatically causing the changing of the channel after the event occurs to display the second program of the second channel instead of the first program (paragraph 0040, lines 2-4).

With regards to claims 7 and 19, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses concurrently causing the display of the first program on a first portion of a display and the second program on a second portion of the display (paragraph 0040, lines 6-10).

Regarding claim 8, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses identifying portions of programs as signifying events (indicating the beginning of an event, such as Tiger Woods tee off—paragraph 0035, lines 15-27).

Art Unit: 2623

Considering claims 9 and 20, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses registering for notification of events (paragraph 0035, lines 1-15).

As for claims 10 and 21, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses receiving a plurality of event notifications (receiving triggering events—paragraph 0035, lines 15-27) and filtering the event notifications to identify those event notifications corresponding to registered events (only the notifications that are matched up with user registrations for notification are sent to the client—paragraph 0035, lines 22-27 and paragraph 0036, lines 1-5), and notifying the viewer of occurrences of registered events for which event notifications have been received (paragraph 0035, lines 25-27 and paragraph 0038, lines 15-19).

With regards to claim 11, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses that the registered events occur in programs broadcast on a plurality of channels (paragraph 0054, lines 9-15).

Considering claim 12, Omoigui ('688) and Omoigui ('747) disclose the claimed limitations. In particular, Omoigui ('688) discloses that the second program is broadcast live (paragraph 0035, lines 1-3) and the event is determined in real-time (paragraph 0095, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 2623

As for claim 13, Omoigui ('688) discloses a storage medium having a plurality of machine-readable instructions (paragraph 0046, lines 9-21 and paragraph 0050, lines 1-4), wherein the instructions are executed by a processor (paragraph 0051, lines 1-7), the instructions provide for handling of event notifications in television programming (instructions for implementing the notifications steps—paragraph 0050, lines 1-13), the instructions including receiving a television stream (paragraph 0029, lines 10-13 and paragraph 0054, lines 1-9); causing the display of a first program of a first channel received in the television stream for viewing by a viewer (paragraph 0034, lines 1-2); and notifying the viewer of an event occurring in a second program of a second channel being broadcast concurrently with the first program (paragraph 0038, lines 1-19 and paragraph 0067, lines 1-8).

Omoigui ('688) fails to disclose the notification of the event being transmitted over a third channel.

In analogous art, Omoigui ('747) discloses that the notification of the event could be transmitted over a third channel (paragraph 0069, lines 21-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Omoigui's ('688) system to include the notification of the event being transmitted over a third channel, as taught by Omoigui ('747), for the benefit of providing different means of notification means to the viewer.

Regarding claims 26 and 30, they are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 2, 9 and 10.

With regards to claims 27 and 31, they are rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 11.

Considering claim 29, it is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 6 and 9.

Considering claim 33, it is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claims 6 and 9.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harun M. Yimam whose telephone number is 571-272-7260. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Grant can be reached on 571-272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-6000.

Art Unit: 2623

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HMY

CHRISTOPHER GRANT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800